



Dan McGuinness, Dewey Willis, Conor Nixon

MSFC: Matt Devine, Ali Hedayat

- Liquid Hydrogen (LH2) and Liquid Oxygen (LO2) provide the highest specific impulse of any practical chemical propulsion system. → Highest payload mass fraction.
- NASA is working on several passive, active, and fluid conditioning strategies for long duration in-space storage of cryogenic propellants.
- Subcooling liquid hydrogen prior to launch will triple the in-space vent-free hold time without adding any significant launched mass.
- Mission Design Laboratory (MDL) study of a representative mission to Titan: Titan Orbiter Polar Surveyor (TOPS).
- TRL Increase in Launchpad Cryogen Subcooling Heat Exchanger Hardware.

Hydrogen loaded at Normal Boiling Point: 101 KPa, 20.39 K

Hydrogen Triple Point: 7.8 KPa, 13.96 K

Isobaric Subcooling of Hydrogen using the TCS and Helium Pressurant

Desired Subcooling Level

SBHE

• **Isobaric Subcooling (N→B):** Removing energy by reducing temperature while keeping pressure constant – the proposed approach.

• **Isothermal Subcooling (N→T):** Pressurizing while keeping temperature constant – performed prior to most launches to prevent cavitation.

• **Densification (N→D):** Removing Energy following the liquid-vapor saturation line – enables smaller tank (X-33) or more propellant in same tank (proposed for Shuttle).

[illegible]

| Configuration | Launched Mass [kg] |
|---------------------|--------------------|
| MMH+NTO - LaserComm | 5266 |
| LH2+LO2 - LaserComm | 2947 |
| MMH+NTO - HGA | 5587 |
| LH2+LO2 - HGA | 3174 |

- TOPS that is propelled by LH2+LO2 saves 43% in launched mass over TOPS that is propelled by MMH+NTO
- TOPS (with the 25% dry mass contingency) can be launched on an Atlas V 551 with a 8% launch mass margin.
- This mission does not close on any Atlas V vehicle if a standard hypergolic propulsion option is used.
- A LH2+LO2 cryogenic propelled TOPS mission could fit comfortably as a New Frontiers mission.
- Confirmed the basic viability and value of the LH2+LO2 cryo propulsion system.
- Provided a much better understanding of how to incorporate this kind of LH2+LO2 cryo propulsion into an actual mission.
- Generated a number of promising approaches for how the cryo propulsion could be further improved in terms of I_{sp} , mass, envelope, thermal control, and required electrical power.
- Efforts are underway to further reduce the TOPS expected dry mass to fit in even smaller launch vehicles without science reduction.

Design 1

Design 2

Design 3

Launch Pad Ground Support Thermodynamic Cryogen Subcooler

Heat Transfer Coefficient Vs. Flow Quality
for various Reynolds Number vertical up-flows of two-phase helium

Pressure Drop Gradient Vs. Flow Quality
for various Reynolds Number vertical up-flows of two-phase helium

Dryout Heat Flux for the Pre-Heater Vs Reynolds Number

Planetary Science : TRL 5

Planetary Science : TRL 6

Planetary Science : TRL 9

- LH2+LO2 propulsion system for planetary science missions will significantly enable or enhance many planetary science missions.
- Opens up new opportunities to explore outer planets and their moons by orbiting, landing and/or sample return, potentially without the necessity of proper planetary alignments for gravity assists.
- Increased science in the near term as well as providing a cost-effective, safe and clean technique for exploration of our solar system.

This study was made possible by the GSFC FY 2013 + 2014 IRAD

Contact:

shuvo.mustafi@nasa.gov

301-286-7436